Original article:

SENSITIVITY OF CLINICAL ISOLATES OF *CANDIDA* TO ESSENTIAL OILS FROM BURSERACEAE FAMILY

Miloš Nikolić^a, Marija Smiljković^a, Tatjana Marković^b, Ana Ćirić^a, Jasmina Glamočlija^a, Dejan Marković^c, Marina Soković^{a,*}

- ^a Institute for Biological Research "Siniša Stanković", University of Belgrade, Bulevar Despota Stefana 142, 11000, Belgrade, Serbia
- ^b Institute for Medicinal Plant Research "Josif Pančić", Tadeuša Košćuška 2, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia
- ^c Faculty of Dental Medicine, Department of Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry, University of Belgrade, dr Subotića 8, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia
- Corresponding author: Marina Soković PhD, Institute for Biological Research "Siniša Stanković", Bulevar Despota Stefana 142, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia Phone: +381 11 207 84 19, Fax: +381 11 2 761 433, e-mail: mris@ibiss.bg.ac.rs

http://dx.doi.org/10.17179/excli2014-621

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>).

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to investigate the chemical composition and antifungal activity of four commercial essential oils from the *Burseraceae* family - two *Boswellia carterii* Flueck oils, *Canarium luzonicum* (Blume) A. Gray oil, and *Commiphora myrrha* (Nees) Engl oil, against most common *Candida* spp. recovered from the human oral cavity. The essential oil samples were analyzed by GC-FID and GC/MS. The analysis showed that major essential oils' components were α -pinene (23.04 % and 31.84 %), limonene (45.62 %) and curzerene (34.65 %), respectively. Minimum inhibitory (MIC) and minimum fungicidal (MFC) concentrations were determined using a microdilution standardized technique. All tested *Candida* spp. clinical isolates and ATCC strains showed susceptibility to tested essential oils in a dose dependent manner. The strongest antifungal activity was shown by essential oil of *B. carterii*, sample 2; the average MIC values ranged from 1.25 to 1.34 mg/ml, and MFC values ranged from 2.50 to 3.75 mg/ml, depending on the fungus. This study supports the possible use of essential oils from the *Bursecaceae* family in reduction and elimination of *Candida* spp. populations in patients with oral cavity fungal infections.

Keywords: Candida spp., susceptibility, essential oils, Burseraceae, oral candidosis

INTRODUCTION

Among the hundreds of microbial species from the oral cavity, members of the genus *Candida* are representative of several yeast species considered to be commensal oral microbiota. Among them, *Candida albicans* is the most commonly isolated from the human oral cavity (Back-Brito et al., 2009), while the non-*albicans* species, such as *C. glabra*- *ta*, *C. krusei*, *C. tropicalis* and *C. dubliniensis* are generally less frequent, although there are indications that the incidence of non*albicans* species recovered from cases of oral candidosis is increasing (Samaranayake, 1991; Scully et al., 1994).

Under certain circumstances *Candida* spp. commensals become pathogens and cause mucous membrane infections ranging

from pseudomembranous candidodis and denture-induced stomatitis (Lam et al., 2012; Gonsalves et al., 2007; Da Costa et al., 2006; Ben-Aryeh et al., 1980), to life-threatening systemic diseases (Samaranayake and Yaacob, 1990), particularly in immunocompromised patients with AIDS, cancer and *diabetes mellitus* (Seneviratne et al., 2008; Edmond et al., 1999).

Oral candidosis is an opportunistic infection usually accompanied by various symptoms including burning, painful sensation, change of taste and swallowing difficulty, but it can be also asymptomatic. It could be treated with various synthetic antifungal agents, though they possess some disadvantages such as high toxicity to the host tissues, emergence of drug-resistant species. and high cost (Runyoro et al., 2006). There is a number of case reports describing the colonization and infection of immunocompromised patients or denture wearers subjected to long-term regimens of oral antifungal agents, from which drug less-responsive or resistant (Sheehan et al., 1999; Wingard et al., 1991; Wingard, 1994 and 1995; Webb et al., 1998; Sanglard and Odds, 2002; Sullivan et al., 2004), and even cross-resistant Candida spp. (Cross et al., 2000; Magill et al., 2006) have been recovered. In addition, recurrences of the oral candidosis, which are commonly observed in these patients, make the problem even greater (Taplin, 1976).

Limitations of synthetic antifungal drugs have encouraged development of new classes of antifungal medications based on potent bioactive molecules of natural origin with high therapeutic efficiency, low toxicity, wide spectrum of activity and eco-friendly nature (Saini et al., 2008; Rajeshkumar and Sundararaman, 2012). Since plant-derived essential oils have a long tradition of use and cover a broad spectrum of biological activities, in various studies their efficacy has been tested over a wide range of oral bacteria and fungi (Hammer et al., 1999; Carvalhinho et al., 2012). Their natural origin, low cytotoxity and biodegradability made them potential ingredients in novel antifungal medications

intended for use in oral hygiene maintenance, and prevention and management of oral infections.

In addition, mindful of increased resistance of *Candida* spp. to synthetic antifungal drugs (Pina-Vaz et al., 2004; Zomorodian et al., 2011), testing the susceptibilities of clinical isolates to natural products such as essential oils, has contributed to development of novel antifungal treatments intended for use at target sites. Our approach also supports the idea that apart from classical antifungal treatments, combination therapy and preventive therapy represent emerging strategies for treating invasive fungal infections (Rüping et al., 2008).

The aim of this study was to investigate the chemical composition and antifungal activity of four commercial essential oils from the *Burseraceae* family against the most common *Candida* spp. recovered from the human oral cavity.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Essential oils

Four commercial essential oils belonging to the *Burseraceae* family were used in this experiment: 1) *Boswellia carterii* Flueck., sample 1 (EOBC₁), purchased from an herbal pharmacy in Rotterdam, Holland; 2) *Boswellia carterii* Flueck., sample 2 (EOBC₂), purchased from Sensient Essential Oils Germany GmbH, Bremen, Germany; 3) *Canarium luzonicum* (Blume) A. Gray, (EOCL) also purchased from Sensient Essential Oils Germany GmbH; and 4) *Commiphora myrrha* (Nees) Engl. (EOCM), also purchased from Sensient Essential Oils Germany GmbH.

Essential oil analyses procedure

The essential oil analyses procedure for Gas Chromatography coupled with a Flame-Ionization Detector and Gas Chromato-graphy/Mass Spectrometry analyses meets standards ISO 7609:1985, ISO 11024-1:1998, and 11024-2:1998, and they have been previously reported by Nikolić et al. (2013).

GC-FID analysis was carried out using a GC Agilent Technologies 7890A apparatus, equipped with the split-splitless injector and automatic liquid sampler (ALS), attached to HP-5 column (30 m x 0.32 mm, film thickness 0.25 µm) and fitted with a flameionization detector (FID). Operating conditions were: H₂ was the carrier gas (1 ml/min/ 210 °C); injector and detector T were 250 °C and 280 °C, respectively, while the column T was linearly programmed 40-260 °C at 4 °C/min. Samples of essential oils were first dissolved in ethanol (approx. 1%) and then injected by ALS (1 µl, split-mode). Presence of the oils' compounds were calculated from the peak areas attained in corresponding area-percent reports (results of the standard processing of chromatograms), without correction factors.

The GC/MS was carried out on an HP G1800C Series II GCD analytical system equipped with a column HP-5MS (30 m x 0.25 mm, film thickness 0.25 μ m). He was the carrier gas (1 ml/min). Other chromatographic conditions were identical to those for GC-FID. The transfer line was heated at 260 °C, while the mass spectra were recorded in EI mode (70 eV), ranging from 40 to 450 m/z. Essential oil samples were dissolved in ethanol (approx. 1 %) and then injected by ALS (0.2 μ l, split mode).

Identification of the oils' compounds was found on matching of their mass spectra peaks with those from libraries of the Wiley 275 and NIST/NBS. The experimental Kovats' retention indices (RI values) were obtained by the use of calibrated Automated Mass Spectral Deconvolution and Identification System software (AMDIS ver. 2.1, 1997), and compared to those from corresponding literature (Adams, 2007), as an additional tool to the MS findings.

Microorganisms

Clinical isolates of *Candida* spp. (58) and reference strains, *Candida albicans* ATCC 10231 and *Candida tropicalis* ATCC 750 (from Laboratory for Mycology, Institute for Biological Research "S. Stanković", University of Belgrade, Serbia), were used in this study. The clinical isolates were collected by rubbing sterile cotton swabs over the oral mucosa of randomly chosen patients at the Faculty of Dental Medicine, University of Belgrade, Serbia. For collecting clinical isolates, used swabs were transferred to SD broth medium which were then thoroughly mixed using a vortex mixer; 50 µl of suspensions were subsequently inoculated on various selective and non-selective media and incubated microaerobically for 48 h at 37 °C. The isolates were identified using biochemical profiles with API 20C (bioMérieux France) and Chrom-Agar (Liofilchem sr.l. Italy).

Anticandidal activity

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) and minimum fungicidal concentrations (MFC) were established by the use of microdilution standardized technique, EU-CAST (2002) with modification. In brief, with the use of sterile saline, fresh overnight yeast cultures were adjusted to a concentration 1.0×10^5 CFU/per well. The microplates were left at 37 °C for 24 h. Following the addition of 40 µl P-Iodonitrotetrazolium violet (INT) 0.2 mg/ml (Sigma I8377) and 30 min of incubation at 37 °C, the MIC of samples were determined.

The MIC values were considered as the lowest concentrations without microscopically observed growth. Following the serial sub-cultivations of $10 \,\mu$ l into microtitre plates containing $100 \,\mu$ l of broth/well, as well as subsequent 24 h incubation at 37 °C, the lowest concentrations with no visible growth were defined as the MFC values, indicating 99.5 % killing of the original inoculum.

A mouth rinse with active ingredient chlorhexidine 0.05 % (Curasept ADS 205, Curaden International AG, Kriens, Swiss) was used as a positive control. It is recommended for prophylactic use in order to maintain good oral hygiene in seriously diseased patients (Langslet et al., 1974).

RESULTS

Essential oil composition

The results of GC/MS analysis of commercial essential oils' samples are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Chemical composition of four commercial oils from the *Burseraceae* family used in experiment (Presented components contribute to corresponding oils with ≥ 0.5 %).

	Constituents	RI	Contribution to the oil (% m/m)			
			B. carterii	C. luzonicum		B. carterii
			sample 2			sample 1
1	α-thujene	919	1.8	*		12.0
2	α-pinene	925	23.0			31.8
3	sabinene	964	3.1	4.5		5.4
4	β-pinene	966	*			3.3
5	myrcene	984	3.2	*		4.1
6	α-phellandrene	996	*	6.0		1.1
7	p-cymene	1017	3.8	3.0		6.0
8	limonene	1021	10.9	45.6		17.9
9	1,8-cineole	1023		1.1		
10	γ-terpinene	1052		0.5		
11	cis-sabinene hydrate	1061		0.8		
12	terpinen-4-ol	1169	*	0.7		0.8
13	α-terpineol	1183		4.4		
14	δ-elemene	1328			0.9	
15	α-copaene	1365	3.6			1.2
16	β-elemene	1382	2.8	*	4.3	1.1
17	cis-caryophyllene	1407		0.7	0.7	
18	trans-caryophyllene	1408	8.3			5.4
19	γ-elemene	1423			3.6	
20	α-humulene	1442	3.2	*		1.1
21	allo-aromadendrene	1449	1.8			*
22	γ-himachalene	1475	1.8			*
23	β-selinene	1475			0.7	
24	Curzerene (isofuranogermacrene)	1489			34.7	
25	γ-cadinene	1503	3.5		*	1.2
26	δ-cadinene	1513	5.8		*	2.1
27	elemol	1541		21.4		
28	germacrene B	1545			0.9	
29	elemecin	1550		6.2		
30	epicurzerene	1568			0.7	
31	furanoeudesma-1,3-diene	1617			32.8	
32	caryophyllene oxide	1571	11.1			2.1
33	globulol	1581	2.4			*
34	lindestrene	1622			10.2	
35	γ-eudesmol	1639		0.9		
36	2-methoxyfurano-diene, isomer I	1631		0.7	1.5	
37	valerianol	1654	4.2			1.2
38	elemol acetate	1680			1.8	
39	2-methoxyfurano-diene, isomer II	1710			4.8	
	Total		94.4	96.3	97.3	97.9

RI – Values for retention indices on the HP-5 column; * – Component present in oil, with contribution below 0.5 %

The main EOBC₁ components, (i.e. contributing > 5 %), proved to be α -pinene, followed by limonene > α -thujene > p-cymene > sabinene > trans- β -carvophyllene, all together comprising 78.5 % of the oil (73.2 % monoterpene and 5.4 % of sesquiterpene hydrocarbons). The main EOBC₂ components were α -pinene, followed by caryophyllene oxide > limonene > *trans* $-\beta$ -caryophyllene > δ -cadinene, which accounted for 59.2 % of the oil (33.9% monoterpene hydrocarbons, 14.1 % sesquiterpene hydrocarbons and 11.1% oxygenated sesquiterpenes). The most dominant components of EOCM, typical for this oil were curzerene, followed by furanoeudesma-1,3-diene lindestrene. >which together accounted for 77.6 % of the entire oil (all oxygenated furano-sesquiterpenes). The main component of EOCL was limonene, followed by elemol > elemecin > α -phellandrene, together comprising 79.2 % of the oil (51.6% monoterpene hydrocarbons, 21.4 % oxygenated sesquiterpenes and 6.2 % phenylpropane).

Susceptibility of Candida spp. to essential oils

In general, all clinical isolates and ATCC strains of Candida spp. proved to be susceptible to tested Burseraceae family essential oils in a dose dependent manner. According to the lowest calculated average MIC and MFC values (2.19 mg/ml and 4.38 mg/ml, respectively) it appears that C. krusei. ATCC750 and ATCC10231, were generally more, and all of them, evenly susceptible to application of Burseraceae oils, followed by generally more endurable to the oils C. albicans (MIC 2.31 mg/ml and MFC 4.68 mg/ ml) and C. glabrata (MIC 2.66 mg/ml and MFC 5.31 mg/ml). In comparison to the control treatment with chlorhexidine mouth rinse (MIC 1.02 mg/ml and MFC 2.04 mg/ ml, for all tested fungi), susceptibility of tested clinical isolates and ATTC strains to Burseraceae oils was generally lower.

Similar trends of susceptibility of all tested fungi were observed between the oils, although specific oil efficacy varied depending on the tested fungus. Based on calculated average MIC and MFC values, the most efficient essential oil proved to be EOBC₂ (MIC 1.39/MFC 2.79 mg/ml). The least susceptible species on application of EOBC₂ was a clinical isolate of C. glabrata (average MIC 1.88/MFC 3.75 mg/ml, followed by a C. albicans isolate (average MIC 1.34/MFC 2.68 mg/ml). The remaining three fungi, C. krusei, ATCC750 and ATCC10231, again expressed the same susceptibility trend, also proved by their equally expressed average MIC and MFC values (1.25 and 2.50 mg/ml, respectively), which were very close to those achieved by chlorhexidine (control). Apart from the C. glabrata clinical isolates, the average susceptibility to EOCM which was the lowest observed in this trial (MIC 3.75/MFC 7.5 mg/ml), isolates of C. albicans also demonstrated lower susceptibility, not only to EOCM (MIC 2.70/MIC 5.32 mg/ml) but also to EOBC₂ (MIC 2.68/MFC 5.27 mg/ ml). However, the average susceptibilities of C. krusei, ATCC750 and ATCC10231 to EOCM, EOCLand EOBC₁, as well of C. albicans to EOCL and C. glabrata to EOCL and EOCM, were all identical (MIC 2.5/MFC 5.0 mg/ml) (Table 2).

Antifungal efficacy of essential oils

Among all tested essential oils, generally EOBC₂ proved to be the most efficacious against all fungi at the lowest concentration applied; 2.5 mg/ml of this oil successfully killed 100 % of *C. krusei* (1 of 1 isolate), ATCC 750 (1 of 1 strain) and ATCC 10231 (1 of 1 strain), as well as 92.7 % of *C. albicans* (51 of 55 isolates), and 50 % of *C. glabrata* (1 of 2 isolates).

Similar trends in activity against *C*. *krusei*, ATCC 750 and ATCC 10231, were observed with EOCM, EOCL and EOBC₁, but the concentrations required to achieve the same effect (100 % kill) was two-times greater (5 mg/ml). In addition, a concentration of 5 mg/ml in the case of EOCL and EOBC₁ also achieved 100 % killing of *C*. *glabrata* isolates, but was not as efficacious in case of EOCM (50 % kill).

	Fungal strain	Essential oils of Burseraceae family					Contr	Control			
N°	<i>Candida</i> spp.*	<i>B. car</i> sample		С. т	yrrha			B. carterii sample 1		Chlorhexidine 0.05 %	
		MIC	MFC	MIC	MFC	MIC	MFC	MIC	MFC	MIC	MFC
1	С.а.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
2	С.а.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
3	С.а.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
4	С.а.	1.25	2.5	5	10	2.5	10	2.5	5	1	2
5	С.а.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
6	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
7	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	1.5
8	C.a.	1.25	2.5	5	10	2.5	5	5	5	1	2
9	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
10	C.a.	1.25	2.5	5	5	25	10	2.5	5	1	2
11	C.a.	2.5	5	2.5	5	25	50 5	2.5	5	1	2 2
12 13	С.а. С.а.	1.25 1.25	2.5 2.5	2.5 2.5	5 5	2.5 2.5	5 5	2.5 2.5	5 5	1 1.25	2 2.5
13 14	С.а. С.а.	1.25	2.5 2.5	2.5 2.5	5 5	2.5 2.5	5 5	2.5 2.5	5 5	1.25	2.5 2
14	С.а. С.а.	2.5	2.5 5	2.5 2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5 5	1	2
16	с.а. С.а.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5 5	10	1	2
17	с.а. С.а.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	5	10	1	2
18	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1.25	2.5
19	С.а.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1.20	2.0
20	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
21	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
22	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
23	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	25	5	1	2
24	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	25	5	1	2
25	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	25	5	1	2
26	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	10	25	5	1.25	2.5
27	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	25	5	1	2
28	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	25	5	1	2
29	С.а.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
30	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
31	С.а.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
32	С.а.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
33	С.а.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
34	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
35	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
36	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
37	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
38	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
39	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
40	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
41 42	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5 1.25	5 2 5	2.5 2.5	5 5	2.5 2.5	5	1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
42 43	C.a. C.a.	1.25 1.25	2.5 2.5	1.25 2.5	2.5 5	2.5 2.5	5 5	2.5 2.5	5 5	1 1	∠ 2
43 44	C.a. C.a.	2.5	2.5 5	2.5 5	5 10	2.5	5 10	2.5	5	1	2
44 45	С.а. С.а.	2.5 1.25	5 2.5	5 2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1.25	2.5
45 46	с.а. С.а.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1.25	2.5
47	с.а. С.а.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
48	с.а. С.а.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
49	с.а. С.а.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
50	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
51	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2 2 2 2
52	C.a.	2.5	5	5	10	2.5	10	5	10	1.25	2.5

Table 2: Anti-candidal activity of four essential oils from the Burseraceae family (mg/ml)

	Fungal Essential oils of Burseraceae family strain							Control			
N°	<i>Candida</i> spp.*	<i>B. car</i> sample		C. myrrha		C. luzonicum		<i>B. carterii</i> sample 1		Chlorhexidine 0.05 %	
		MIC	MFC	MIC	MFC	MIC	MFC	MIC	MFC	MIC	MFC
53	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
54	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
55	C.a.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
56	C. k.	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
57	С. д.	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
58	C. g.	1.25	2.5	5	10	2.5	5	25	5	1	2
59	ATCC 10231	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2
60	ATCC 750	1.25	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	1	2

Table 2 (cont.): Anti-candida	l activity of four essential oil	Is from the <i>Burseraceae</i> family (mg/ml)
-------------------------------	----------------------------------	---

* C. a. – C. albicans clinical isolates; C. k. – C. krusei clinical isolate; C. g. – C. glabrata clinical isolates; ATCC 10231 – C. albicans; ATCC 750 – C. tropicalis. MIC – Minimum inhibitory concentration; MFC - Minimum fungicidal concentration

Anti-candidal activity of the same essential oils against two Boswellia spp., was previously tested and confirmed (Abdoul-latif et al., 2012), as well as a weak anti-candidal efficacy of EOCM (Carvalhinho et al., 2012), in comparison to EOBC, although those oils, as well as many other oils and their major components were tested only against the ATCC 10231 strain (Hammer et al., 1999; Tampieri et al., 2005). Although this group did not describe the efficacy of oils against *Candida* spp. clinical isolates, their data were in accordance with our results with regard to the same ATCC strain. On the other hand, because of the small number of clinical isolates of C. krusei (1) and C. glabrata (2) recovered from human oral cavity, we are not in position to draw any serious conclusions on the efficacy of our essential oils, so our findings regarding C. krusei and C. glabrata isolates may serve only as a reference. In addition, our results also confirm that C. albicans was the most common oral cavity clinical isolate, while the isolates from C. glabrata and C. krusei were recovered not so frequently but consistently.

DISCUSSION

The main components of $EOBC_1$ and $EOBC_2$ were, typically dominant in monoterpenes (Abdoul-latif et al., 2012). However, the two oils differed between themselves in their individual components percent contribution (Chiavari et al., 1991; Abdulwahab et al., 1987). Our EOCM differed from the literature in abundance of curzerene (Chiavari et al., 1991), which was greater than the previously reported high percentage of furanoeudesma-1,3-diene (Baser et al., 2003), although they were both present in similar and quite high percentages (34.65 and 32.77 %, respectively), as confirmed in other articles (Hanuš et al., 2008; Baser et al., 2003).

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to emphasize the susceptibility of several oral cavity clinical isolates and ATCC strains of *Candida* spp. to these four essential oils from the *Burseraceae* family.

As out of all tested *Candida* spp. clinical isolates in our experiment, 5 to 10 % responded differently to applied treatment, including the control, we assumed that they undergone certain modifications, similarly to results of Zomorodian et al. (2011) which reported 9.2 % of 206 *Candida* spp. isolates tested on diverse antifungal treatments showing minor mutations. This led us to conclusion that we should seriously take in consideration that it may be one of the reasons for antifungal treatment failure.

In addition, the lower susceptibility of the clinical isolates of *C. albicans* in comparison to the ATCC 10231 strain to plantderived essential oils, as shown in our research, is in accordance with findings from other studies (Abdoul-latif et al., 2012), emphasizing, once again, the strength of *C. albicans* clinical isolates, also confirmed for isolates recovered from the throat and mouth (Paniagua et al., 2002; Manfredi et al., 2006).

A successful therapy can be defined as "a suitable agent prescribed to treat the right organism at an appropriate dosage". Epidemiological studies by surveillance to determine the true frequency of antifungal resistance may be the first step to control the emergence of antifungal resistance. Rapid identification of fungal pathogens and the measurement of the MIC of clinical isolates in vitro may be helpful. Knowledge gained from studying the mechanisms of antifungal resistance may provide ideas on how to limit the emergence of resistance to those marketed antifungal agents and to develop safer and better compounds for the next generation of antifungal agents (Anibal et al., 2010). Therefore, new treatment strategies, especially natural, are urgently needed.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we encourage the development of new therapeutic agents against opportunistic fungi of the *Candida* genera, which, as do other microorganisms, have the ability to acquire resistance to antimicrobials, especially during prolonged treatments such as those associated with immunocompromised patients.

Our results conclusively show susceptibility of *C. albicans*, *C. krusei* and *C. glabrata* oral cavity clinical isolates and ATCC 10231 and ATCC 750 strains to all tested commercial oils from the *Burseraceae* family. Although less efficacious on a mg/ml basis in comparison to the mouth rinse with chlohexidine 0.05 %, our findings suggest that the sample 2 of *Boswellia carterii* oil (EOBC₂) could serve as an alternative, either alone or in combination with other antifungal agents, for preventive and/or therapeutic purposes, in patients prone to recurrent oral candidiasis. In addition, our findings increase the knowledge about biological characteristics of *Candida* spp. isolated from the human oral cavity and we consider them very important with regard to their raising resistance to commercial antifungal drugs and consequent antifungal treatment failures. Therefore, this research should be understood as contributing to the overall endeavour of discovering alternative approaches to current therapeutic challenges.

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate financial support of the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of Republic of Serbia (Grant № 173032).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Abdoul-latif FM, Obame LC, Bassole IHN, Dicko MH. Antimicrobial activities of essential oil and methanol extract of *Boswellia sacra* Flueck. and *Boswellia papyrifera* (Del.) Hochst from Djibouti. Int J Manag Mod Sci Technol. 2012;1(1):1-10.

Abdulwahab SM, Aboutalb EA, El-Zalabani SM, Fouad HA, De Pooter HL, El-Fallaha. The essential oil of *Olibanum*. Planta Med. 1987;53:382-4.

Adams RP. Identification of essential oil component by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Carol Stream, IL: Allured Publ., 2007.

AMDIS (ver. 2.1.) - Automated Mass Spectral Deconvolution and Identification System software. Gaithersburg, MD: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Standard Reference Data Program, 1997.

Anibal CA, Sardi JCO, Peixoto ITA, de Carvalho MJJ, Hofling JF. Conventional and alternative antifungal therapies to oral candidosis. Braz J Microbiol. 2010;41:824-31.

Back-Brito GN, Mota AJ, Vasconcellos TC, Vasconcellos TC, Querido SM, Jorge AS, et al. Frequency of *Candida* spp. in the oral cavity of Brazilian HIV-positive patients and correlation with CD4 cell counts and viral load. Mycopathologia. 2009;167:81-7. Baser KHC, Demirci B, Dekebo A, Dagne E. Essential oils of some *Boswellia* spp., Myrrh and Opopanax. Flavour Frag J. 2003;18:153-6.

Ben-Aryeh H, Berdicevsky I, Gutman D, Szargel R. Oral *Candida* of asymptomatic denture wearers. Int J Oral Maxillof. 1980;9:113-5.

Carvalhinho S, Costa AM, Coelho AC, Martins E, Sampaio A. Susceptibilities of *Candida albicans* mouth isolates to antifungal agents, essential oils and mouth rinses. Mycopathologia. 2012;174:69-76.

Chiavari G, Galletti GC, Piccagali R, Mohammed MA. Differentiation between Resins *Boswellia carterii* and *Boswellia frereana* (Frankincense) of Somali Origin. J Essent Oil Res. 1991;3:185-6.

Cross EW, Park S, Perlin DS. Cross-resistance of clinical isolates of *Candida albicans* and *Candida glabrata* to over-the-counter azoles used in the treatment of vaginitis. Microb Drug Resist. 2000;6:155-61.

Da Costa SC, De Resende MA, Lyon JP, Totti VMG, Munhoz MF. Predisposing conditions for *Candida* spp. carriage in the oral cavity of denture wearers and individuals with natural teeth. Can J Microbiol. 2006; 52:462-7.

Edmond MB, Wallace SE, McClish DK, Pfaller MA, Jones N, Wenzel RP. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in United States hospitals: a three-year analysis. Clin Infect Dis. 1999;29:239-44.

EUCAST – European Committee on Antibiotic Susceptibility. Method for determination of minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) by broth dilution of fermentative yeasts. Discussion document E. Dis. 7.1. Taufkirchen: European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, 2002.

Gonsalves WC, Chi AC, Neville BW. Common oral lesions: Part I. Superficial mucosal lesions. Am Fam Physician. 2007;75:501-7.

Hammer KA, Carson CF, Riley TV. Antimicrobial activity of essential oils and other plant extracts. J Appl Microbiol. 1999;86:985-90.

Hanuš LO, Rosenthal TO, Dembitsky VM, Moussaief A. Fast and easy GC/MS identification of myrrh resins. Pharm Chem J. 2008;42:719-20.

ISO 7609:1985. Essential oils - Analysis by gas chromatography on capillary columns - General method. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization, 1985. ISO 11024-1:1998. Essential oils - General guidance on chromatographic profiles - Part 1: Preparation of chromatographic profiles for presentation in standards. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization, 1998.

ISO 11024-2:1998. Essential oils - General guidance on chromatographic profiles - Part 2: Utilization of chromatographic profiles of samples of essential oils. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization, 1998.

Lam OLT, Bandara HMHN, Samaranayake LP, McGrath C, Li LSW. Oral health promotion interventions on oral yeast in hospitalised and medically compromised patients: a systematic review. Mycoses. 2012;55:123-42.

Langslet A, Olsen I, Lie SO, Lekken P. Chlorhexidine treatment of oral candidiasis in seriously diseased children. Acta Paediatr. 1974;63:809-11.

Magill SS, Shields C, Sears CL, Choti M, Merz WG. Triazole cross-resistance among Candida spp.: Case report occurrence among bloodstream isolates and implications for antifungal therapy. J Clin Microbiol. 2006;44:529–35.

Manfredi M, McCullough MJ, Polonelli L, Conti S, Al-Karaawi ZM, Vescovi P, et al. *In vitro* antifungal susceptibility to six antifungal agents of 229 *Candida* isolates from patients with *diabetes mellitus*. Oral Microbiol Immun. 2006;21:177–82.

Nikolić M, Marković T, Mojović M, Pejin B, Savić A, Perić T, et al. Chemical composition and biological activity of *Gaultheria procumbens* L. essential oil. Ind Crop Prod. 2013;49:561-7.

Paniagua GLC, Monroy EP, Negrete EA, Vaca SP. Susceptibility to 5-Fluorocytosine, miconazole and amphotericin B of *Candida albicans* strains isolated from the throat of non-AIDS patients. Rev Lat Microbiol. 2002;44:65–8.

Pina-Vaz C, Goncalves AR, Pinto E, Costa-de-Oliveira S, Tavares C., Salgueiro L, et al. Antifungal activity of *Thymus* oils and their major compounds. J Eur Acad Dermatol. 2004;18:73–8.

Rajeshkumar R, Sundararaman M. Emergence of *Candida* spp. and exploration of natural bioactive molecules for anticandidal therapy-Status quo. My-coses. 2012;55(3):60-73.

Rüping MJGT, Jörg J, Vehreschild JJ, Cornely OA. Patients at high risk of invasive fungal infections. Drugs. 2008;68:1941-62. Runyoro DKB, Matee MIN, Ngassapa OD, Joseph CC, Mbwambo ZH. Screening of Tanzanian medicinal plants for anti-*Candida* activity. BMC Complem Altern Med. 2006;6:11.

Saini ML, Saini R, Roy D, Kumar A. Comparative pharmacognostical and antimicrobial studies of *Acacia* species (Mimosaceae). J Med Plants Res. 2008;2: 378–86.

Samaranayake LP. Superficial oral fungal infections. Curr Opin Dent. 1991;1:415-22.

Samaranayake LP, Yaacob H. Classification of oral candidosis. In: Samaranayake LP, MacFarlane TW (eds): Oral candidosis (pp 124-32). London: Wright-Butterworth, 1990.

Sanglard D, Odds FC. Resistance of *Candida* species to antifungal agents: molecular mechanisms and clinical consequences. Lancet Infect Dis. 2002;2:73-85.

Scully C, el-Kabir M, Samaranayake LP. *Candida* and oral candidosis: a review. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med. 1994;5:125-57.

Seneviratne CJ, Jin L, Samaranayake LP. Biofilm lifestyle of *Candida*: a mini-review. Oral Dis. 2008; 14:582-90.

Sheehan DJ, Hitchcock CA, Sibley CM. Current and emerging azole antifungal agents. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1999;12:40-79.

Sullivan DJ, Moran GP, Pinjon E, Al-Mosaid A, Stokes C, Vaughan C, et al. Comparison of the epidemiology, drug resistance mechanisms and virulence of *Candida dubliniensis* and *Candida albicans*. FEMS Yeast Res. 2004;4:369-76.

Tampieri MP, Galuppi R, Macchioni F, Carelle MS, Falcioni L, Cioni PL, et al. The inhibition of *Candida albicans* by selected essential oils and their major components. Mycopathologia. 2005;159:339–45.

Taplin D. Superficial mycoses. J Invest Dermatol. 1976;67:177-81.

Webb BC, Thomas CJ, Willcox MD, Harty DW, Knox KW, Webb BC, et al. Candida-associated denture stomatitis. Aetiology and management: a review. Part 1. Factors influencing distribution of *Candida* species in the oral cavity. Aust Dent J. 1998;43:45-50.

Wingard JR. Infections due to resistant *Candida* species in patients with cancer who are receiving chemotherapy. Clin Infect Dis. 1994;19(Suppl. 1):S49–S53.

Wingard JR. Importance of *Candida* species other than *Candida albicans* as pathogens in oncology patients. Clin Infect Dis. 1995;20:115-25.

Wingard JR, Merz WG, Rinaldi MG, Johnson TR, Karp JE, Saral R. Increase in Candida krusei infection among patients with bone marrow transplantation and neutropenia treated prophylactically with fluconazole. N Engl J Med. 1991;325:1274–7.

Zomorodian K, Haghighi NN, Rajaee N, Pakshir K, Tarazooie B, Vojdani M, et al. Assessment of *Candida* species colonization and denture-related stomatitis in complete denture wearers. Med Mycol. 2011;49:208-11.