Original article:

GLUL GENE KNOCKDOWN AND RESTRICTED GLUCOSE LEVEL SHOW SYNERGISTIC INHIBITORY EFFECT ON THE LUMINAL SUBTYPE BREAST CANCER MCF7 CELLS' PROLIFERATION AND METASTASIS

Arezu Karimpur Zahmatkesh¹, Mohammad Khalaj-Kondori^{1*}, Mohammad Ali Hosseinpour Feizi¹, Behzad Baradaran²

- 1 Department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran
- 2 Immunology Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz
- * **Corresponding author:** Mohammad Khalaj-Kondori, Department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran. Tel. +984133392674; E-mail: <u>khalaj@tabrizu.ac.ir</u>

https://dx.doi.org/10.17179/excli2023-6287

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>).



Figure 1: Graphical abstract. This schematic image indicates a possible involved pathway in the current study. Based on our analysis, low quantities of glucose mitigate glycolysis inhibitor and cause increased ROS. Also, GLUL repression down-regulates GST3M, a glutathione transferase. Therefore, ROS molecules accumulated and can harm the cells. As well as the Bax gene, a proapoptotic gene, up-regulates in the result of GLUL knockdown, possibly because of mTOR/ AKT pathway suppression (T: inhibitory path, ↑: promoting path).

ABSTRACT

The glutamine synthetase path is one of the most important metabolic pathways in luminal breast cancer cells, which plays a critical role in supplying glutamine as an intermediate in the biosynthesis of amino acids and nucleotides. On the other hand, glycolysis and its dominant substrate, glucose, are the most critical players in cancer metabolism. Accordingly, targeting these two critical paths might be more efficient in luminal-type breast cancer treatment. MCF7 cells were cultivated in media containing 4.5, 2, and 1 g/L glucose to study its effects on GLUL (Glutamate Ammonia Ligase) expression. Followingly, high and low glucose cell cultures were transfected with 220 pM of siGLUL and incubated for 48 h at 37 °C. The cell cycle progression and apoptosis were monitored and assessed by flow cytometry. Expression of GLUL, known as glutamine synthetase, was evaluated in mRNA and protein levels by qRT-PCR and western blotting, respectively. To examine the migration and invasion capacity of studied cells exploited from wound healing assay and subsequent expression studies of glutathione-S-transferase Mu3 (GSTM3) and alfa-enolase (ENO1). Expression of GLUL significantly decreased in cells cultured at lower glucose levels compared to those at higher glucose levels. siRNA-mediated knockdown of GLUL expression in low glucose cultures significantly reduced growth, proliferation, migration, and invasion of the MCF7 cells and enhanced their apoptosis compared to the controls. Based on the results, GLUL suppression down-regulated GSTM3, a main detoxifying enzyme, and up-regulated Bax. According to the role of glycolysis as a ROS suppressor, decreased amounts of glucose could be associated with increased ROS; it can be considered an efficient involved mechanism in this study. Also, increased expression of Bax could be attributable to mTOR/AKT inhibition following GLUL repression. In conclusion, utilizing GLUL and glycolysis inhibitors might be a more effective strategy in luminal-type breast cancer therapy.

Keywords: Cancer metabolism, glutamine synthetase, glycolysis, luminal breast cancer, MCF7 cell line

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most common type of cancer and the second cause of cancer-related death in women (Bray et al., 2018; Miller et al., 2020; Redig and McAllister, 2013; Smith et al., 2017), which implies the need for improved therapeutic strategies (Li et al., 2020). One of the most important hallmarks of cancer cells is metabolic reprogramming (Faubert et al., 2020; Hanahan and Weinberg, Thompson, Pavlova and 2011: 2016; Revathidevi and Munirajan, 2019). Determination of metabolic differences in cancerous and normal cells and their causal mechanisms will not only raise our knowledge of cancer cell biology but also present a significant basis for developing novel therapeutic approaches to devastate cancer cells (Altman et al., 2016; Leone et al., 2019; Luengo et al., 2017; Vander Heiden and DeBerardinis, 2017). Despite numerous studies, metabolic changes in cancer cells have not been determined thoroughly because of the complicated behavior of cancer cells and technical drawbacks (Baghban et al., 2020). Nevertheless, metabolic reprogramming is known not only as an energy resource for the survival and

proliferation of malignant cells but also plays some roles in the invasiveness and metastasis of cancer cells (Faubert et al., 2020). Among different metabolic pathways in cancer cells, the metabolic networks of amino acids are very complicated and highly interlocked with other paths. Since malignant cells generally use an excessive amount of glutamine to ease rapid proliferation (Eagle et al., 1956), the metabolic pathway of glutamine has attracted the attention of more cancer researchers. Glutamine is the second vital nutrient for cancer cells after glucose (Kuo et al., 2000). It is considered a critical source of precursors such as carbon and nitrogen in the biosynthesis of nucleotides, lipids, glutathione, intermediates of the tricarboxylic acid cycle, and others (Deprez et al., 1997; Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011; Warburg, 1956; Wieman et al., 2007). Mammalian cells generally synthesize glutamine via glutamine synthetase, de novo (Yuneva et al., 2007). On the other hand, most cancerous cells, including non-small Cell Lung Cancer (Davidson et al., 2016; Sellers et al., 2015; Yuneva et al., 2012), prostate (Fendt et al., 2013), and pancreas cancer (Son et al., 2013), fibrosarcoma (Reid et al.,

2016), and T cell ALL (Herranz et al., 2015) rely on extracellular glutamine. Therefore, glutamine often is considered a conditionally essential amino acid (Wei et al., 2021). On the other hand, the glutamine requirement of breast cancer is determined based on its molecular subtypes. Considering recent studies, the basal subtype of breast cancer is dependent on extracellular glutamine, and at the same time, the luminal subtype synthesizes glutamine, de novo, by glutamine synthetase (GS) (Kung et al., 2011), which is also known as Glutamate Ammonia Ligase (GLUL). In HER2-positive and basal-like subtypes of breast cancer, the glutamine metabolism pathway demonstrates maximum activity where the expression of the glutaminase and glutamate dehydrogenase is enhanced but is substantially down-regulated in the luminal subtype (Cao et al., 2014; Kanaan et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2013) and often used cytosolic glutamine amidotransferases for glutamine catabolism (Nguyen and Durán, 2018). Accordingly, glutamine depletion of the cancer cell environment or inhibition of glutamine synthetase can affect cancer cell survival. Although glucose is one of the essential sources of energy in cancer and normal cells, the normal cells depend mainly on oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) (Kalyanaraman, 2017) but in cancer cells, aerobic glycolysis is the dominant mechanism that is known as the Warburg effect. Based on recent research, inhibiting glycolysis via enzymes or glucose transporters such as hexokinase and glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) (Gautier et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2012), with or without chemical drugs (Jae et al., 2009), however, suppresses the growth of the cancer cells, it is inadequate for eradication of tumor mass because these cells can adjust their metabolism to continue this surviving situation (Ghanbari in Movahed et al., 2019). Accordingly, inhibiting more than one metabolic pathway for cancer treatment seems logical. Here we suppressed GLUL expression by siRNA and evaluated the simultaneous effects of GLUL knockdown and restricted glucose levels in

MCF7 cells as a model for the luminal A breast cancer subtype.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

The human breast cancer MCF7 cell line, ATCC HTB-22, was purchased from the National Cell Bank of Pasteur Institute, Iran, Tehran. Studied cells cultured in DMEM with high and low glucose and a medium with moderate glucose containing 4.5, 1, and 2 gr/liter of glucose, respectively (Gibco, Thermo Fisher, USA), supplemented with 10 % heat inactivated FBS (Anacell, Tehran, Iran), and 1 % of penicillin/streptomycin (10.000 U/mL) (Bioideaco, Tehran, Iran) in a moistened condition of 5 % CO₂ at 37 °C.

GLUL-specific silencing by small interfering RNA (siRNA)

GLUL-siRNA of interest (siGLUL) was synthesized by Bioneer, South Korea, with a sequence: 5'-GAUUGGACCUUGUGAA-GGA-3' (Guo et al., 2021). For GLUL knockdown, the cultured MCF7 cells were detached and prepared to 220 pM siRNA delivery via electroporation with voltage 220 and capaci-250 µF (modified condition tances to Michelle Colins' protocol) (Biorad, Gene Pulser XcellTM, UK). Following siGLUL transfection, cells were seeded in six-well plates at 2.2×10^5 cells/well. Transfected and control cells detached and prepared for different investigations after 48 h incubation at 37 °C incubator.

Quantitative real-time PCR (q-RT PCR)

Both cultured control and siGLUL transfected cells were detached from plates after 48 h with 0.25% EDTA-trypsin (DENAzist-Asia, Mashhad, Iran), and the total RNA was isolated using column RNA extraction kit (DENAzist-Asia, Mashhad, Iran) according to the company's instructions. The cDNA was subsequently synthesized with 1 μ g of extracted RNA and by a cDNA easy synthesis kit for further specific studies (Parstoos, Mashhad, Iran). The quantitative RT-PCR was administered with primers for target genes, including *GLUL*, *GSTMu3*, *ENO1* and *Bax*, and β -*actin* using High ROX RealQ Plus 2x Master Mix Green (AMPLIQON; Denmark) by ABI step one plus (Applied Biosystems, USA). Primer sequences and the conditions of qRT-PCR are available in Table 1. Studied genes were normalized based on the housekeeping gene β -actin as the reference gene. The relative expression values of mRNA were determined using the 2- $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method between the siGLUL transfected and control cells.

Western blotting

The total protein of cultured control and treated cells were extracted based on SDS, and the concentration of isolated protein was determined using the Bradford method (He, 2011). Subsequently, denatured proteins, as the result of boiling in 100 °C water, were loaded on SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis) gels with a protein ladder (SuperSignal® Molecular Weight Protein Ladder, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Separated proteins were transferred to the PVDF (polyvinylidene fluoride) membranes to isolate the target protein in a western blotting device; then the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies β-actin (sc-47778,1: 300), GAPDH (sc-47724, 1:300), and Glu synthetase (E-4) (sc-74430, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:300) for 16-18 hours at 4 °C. Followingly, the PVDF membranes were washed with TBS-T buffer and shaken in a solution; containing secondary antibodies (anti-rabbit, 1:1000) for 75 minutes. Finally, the labeled proteins were visualized and investigated by chemiluminescence assay (ECL advanced reagents kit, Amersham, USA). The collected raw data were analyzed using Student's t-test. P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Impact of levels of glucose and siGLUL on MCF7 Cell Morphology

Moreover, the effects of various quantities of glucose and siGLUL transfection were investigated on cell morphology using Olympus's inverted microscope (10X).

Gene	Sequences of primer	Quantitative RT-PCR Program	Size of product
GLUL	F: 5'-CCTGCTTGTATGCTG-	Denaturation: 95 °C	Kung et
(for gluta-	GAGTC-3'	Annealing: 53.5 °C	al., 2011
mine syn-	R: 5'-GATCTCCCATGCTGAT-	Extension: 72 °C	308bp
thetase)	TCCT-3'		1q25.3
GSTM3	F: 5'-GGAGGCAAGGGACGGAGA-	Denaturation: 95 °C	Wang et
(for Glu-	3'	Annealing: 61 °C	al., 2020
tathione	R: 5'-TTCCGAGCCTTCGAG-	Extension: 72 °C	124bp
S-Trans-	GACTAG-3'		1p13.3
ferase			
Mu-3			
ENO1	F: 5'-GGGAATCCCACTGTT-	Denaturation: 95 °C	Huang et
(for alfa-	GAGGT-3'	Annealing: 56.2 °C	al., 2019
enolase)	R: 5'-	Extension: 72 °C	294bp
	CGGAGCTCTAGGGCCTCATA-3'		1p36.23
Bax	F: GCCTCACTCACCATCTGGAA	Denaturation: 95 C	This study
	R: TTACCCCCTCAAGACCACTCT	Annealing: 55 °C	175bp
		Extension: 72 °C	19p13.3
β-actin	F: 5'-TGAAGGTAGTTTCGTG-	Denaturation: 95 °C	Wang et
(as inter-	GATGC-3'	Annealing: 55.1 °C	al., 2020
nal con-	R: 5'-TCCCTGGAGAAGAGC-	Extension: 72 °C	231bp
trol)	TACGA-3'		7p22.1

Table 1: The sequences of the primers and qRT-PCR programs applied in this research

*Primary denaturatuion temperature was 95 °C for 15 min qPCR

Flow cytometry analysis of cell cycle

To study the cell cycle phases profited the flow cytometry technique (MACSQuant, USA). Target cells were cultivated in different amounts of glucose and evaluated for the cell cycle, and subsequently, based on the results, low glucose culture cells were treated by siGLUL (220 pm) and studied by flow cytometry. In this context, after 48 hours, the cells were detached and washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) two times, fixed in 90 % ethanol at -23 °C overnight. The ethanol-fixed cells were centrifuged, washed with PBS, and stained with a solution containing PI and RNaseA at a final concentration of 0.001 mg/mL and 16.6 mg/mL, respectively. The prepared cells were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min and examined by FACS (MACSQuant, USA). Obtained Flow Cytometry data was analyzed using the FlowJo 10.8.1 software.

Apoptosis evaluation with AnnexinV-FITC and PI staining

To investigate the effect of GLUL knockdown on apoptosis, we utilize the AnnexinV -FITC and PI staining methods. GLUL siRNA was transfected, and cells were seeded and cultured for 72 hours. Followingly, the cells were detached with trypsin, washed with PBS three times, centrifuged, and resuspended in 197 µL binding buffer. According to the manufacturer's instructions (Immunostep, Spain), a compound of Annexin-V-FITC (3 µL) and PI (2.7 μ L) was added and mixed into the cell suspension. Labeled cells were incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature in a dark compartment and studied by flow cytometry (MACSQuant, USA). The data were analyzed and evaluated using FlowJo 10.8.1 software.

Cell migration assessment

The rate of migration in studied cells was examined and evaluated by two methods, including; a) wound healing assays and b) gene expression studies of Glutathione S-Transferase Mu-3 (*GSTM3*) and Alfa-enolase 1 (*ENO1*) (Dalla et al., 2020).

Wound healing assay

In this trial, siGLUL transfected also not transfected cells were seeded in 12 wells plates with 1.1×10^5 cells and placed in a 37 °C and 5 % CO2 incubator for 24 hours (Wang et al., 2019). Followingly, the cell's adhesion was evaluated and then created a horizontal scratch in the middle of the plates using a 100 µL sterile pipette tip. Subsequently, scratched wells were washed with 1X PBS away with shaken gently for 30 seconds. Eventually, scratches were studied using an inverted microscope (Olympus CKX41) on a 10X objective and a camera at 0, 24, and 48 hours. The captured images were examined and analyzed with Fiji-ImageJ software. For further investigation, the expression of two known luminal breast cancer metastatic genes, including GSTM3 and ENO1, was assessed (Dalla et al., 2020).

Statistical analysis

The software Graph PadPrism 9 and SPSS 28 were applied to analyze all collected data by Student's t-test and one-way ANOVA. A P value less than 0.05 was regarded as significant, statistically. All experiments were performed at least three times in the same situations, and the results were finalized and expressed by mean \pm SEM (standard error of the mean).

RESULTS

Down-regulation of GS (glutamine synthetase) expression in mRNA and protein levels by siGLUL transfection

Recent studies have shown that expression of *GLUL* is maintained in some malignancies, such as luminal breast cancer. The present study approved the results of previous studies in the MCF7 cells, luminal A breast cancer cells, at mRNA and protein levels (Figure 2A-E). In this examination, we assessed the expression of the *GLUL* gene in cultures with different amounts of glucose. The data of quantitative RT-PCR revealed the down-regulation of *GLUL* at the low quantity of glucose compared to high and moderate levels of glucose (P-value= 0.0052, 0.0071, respectively) (Figure 2A). Similarly, the gene expression study in siGLUL transfected cells demonstrated significant down-regulation of *GLUL* at mRNA and protein levels (p < 0.0001 and 0.0048, respectively) in low glucose cultures (Figure 2B, D, E). According to the results, siRNA transfection significantly induced the knockdown of *GLUL* transcripts with ratio = 85 % on MCF7 cells compared to control cells (P-value < 0.0001).

Impact of levels of glucose and siGLUL on MCF7 cell morphology

Collected data demonstrated that lowered glucose was related to more maturation and spindle-shaped morphology of proliferating cells (**Figure 2F**). Also, *GLUL* suppression has been associated with cell shrinkage and death and a significantly decreased number of cells compared to not treated low glucose cultures.



Figure 2: A) Expressions of *GLUL* (glutamine synthetase) mRNA in breast cancer MCF7 cells in a growth medium with different levels of glucose, low levels of glucose are associated with *GLUL* down-regulation compared to high and moderate levels of glucose (P-value= 0.0071), **B**) Expressions of *GLUL* (glutamine synthetase) mRNA in control cells and transfected cells (with 220 nm of siRNA), which were cultured in low glucose DMEM, and **C**) high glucose DMEM, respectively. The results indicate a significant difference in expression between the control and transfected groups in cells cultured in low glucose DMEM (P=0.0001), **D**) Knockdown of *GLUL* and protein expression in MCF7 cells. Relative protein expressions of glutamine synthetase in siGLUL transfected and control MCF7 cells cultured in low glucose (1 gr/ liter) DMEM (p=0.0048). **E**) Western blot analysis of GLUL siRNAs transfected and control cells demonstrate efficient glutamine synthetase protein knockdown. **F**) Cell morphology studies in cells cultured in with moderate glucose (**F2**), Cells cultured in low glucose DMEM (**F3**), and cells treated with GLUL siRNA (**F4**). The results have been calculated and presented as mean ± SD. * P<0.05 is significant.

GLUL suppression, along with decreased levels of glucose, affected the phases of the MCF7 cell cycle

The growth inhibitory role of gene knockdown was studied and investigated via flow cytometry. The results demonstrated that GLUL suppression affected the cell population in subG1, G1, S, and G2-M phases (Figure 3). In this context, the results showed a significant increase in subG1, which represents late apoptosis, and also a decrease in G1, S, and G2-M in GLUL siRNA transfected cells compared to controls (P<0.0001). As well as flow cytometry studies showed significant cell cycle differences in cultures with various amounts of glucose. In this evaluation, high glucose cultures indicated increased G2-M phases, the cell division stage (p<0.0001) (Figure 4).



Figure 3: Cell cycle studies **A)** Not transfected cells **B)** siRNA transfected cells **C)** Statistical analysis shows an increased number of cells at the subG1 phase and a decreased number of cells at G2-M phases in transfected cells compared to control cells.



GLUL suppression influences migration, and so the invasiveness rate of the MCF7 cells

The effect of GLUL knockdown on migration and aggressiveness capacity of cells was studied utilizing the wound healing assay method. The results revealed the linear relation between suppression of GLUL and decreased rate of migration compared to control MCF7 cells (p=0.0257 and p=0.0227 for 24 h and 48 h, respectively) (Figure 5). As well to explore the exact role of GLUL on the invasion power of target cells, the expression of metastatic genes including; Glutathione S transferase Mu3 (GSTM3) and Alfa-enolase (ENO1) were determined using qRT-PCR technique. In this trial, repression of GLUL significantly down-regulated GSTM3 in MCF7 cells (p = 0.0013) but had no significant effect on ENO1 expression (p > 0.05, Figure 5C).

GLUL knockdown stimulated (programmed cell death) apoptosis

To investigate apoptosis, siGLUL-treated and control cells were detached with trypsin and prepared with Annexin V and propidium iodide (PI). As shown in Figure 6A, B, C, and D, the suppression of GLUL resulted in an increased number of early and total (early and late) apoptotic cells in transfected cultures compared to controls (p=0.0366 and 0.0027, respectively). Also, the cells were evaluated based on morphology differences via acridine orange/ethidium bromide staining. The findings were consistent with the results of Annexin V studies (P < 0.00001). As well as gene expression studies indicated up-regulation of the Bax gene in treated cells compared to control cells (P=0.013), while there was no expression of Bax in control MCF7 cells (Figure 6E).



Control cells

Figure 5: Effects of GLUL suppression on migration and invasiveness capacity; A) Wound-healing assay method was run using an inverted microscope (Olympus CKX41) with magnification 10, in control and siGLUL transfected cells, B) Rate of wound healing assay during 0, 24 and 48 hours. Results show a decreased pace of migration in transfected cells compared to control cells, with p=0.0257 and p=0.0227 for 24 h and 48 h, respectively. C) Analysis of GSTM3 and ENO1 (p>0.05) expression in control and transfected cells by quantitative RT-PCR 48 h after transfection. The expression of GSTM3 had decreased in siRNA-transfected cells (p=0.0013).

DISCUSSION

Breast cancer is the most frequent cancer in women all over the world. Metabolic modifications are one of the main hallmarks of cancer cells and can be considered a potential aim in targeted cancer therapy. The present study was planned and conducted to scan the effects of different quantitations of glucose and GLUL repression, as the main metabolic pathways, on cell growth in luminal breast cancer, because of the vital role of metabolic reprogramming in cancer cell survival (Cazzaniga and Bonanni, 2015; Faubert et al., 2020; Phan et al., 2014; Schiliro and Firestein, 2021; Sun et al., 2020a, b; Sun et al., 2018). Our findings approved the active role of glutamine synthetase in the luminal breast cancer cell line, in concordance with Kung et al.(2011). As well as the effect of various levels of environmental glucose was examined and evaluated on the GLUL, GSTM3, and ENO1 gene expression, and based on the results, the GLUL, but not GSTM3, and ENO1 are down-regulated by low glucose. Also, flow cytometry assay indicates a decreased G2-M phase of the cell cycle in this situation. We investigated the GLUL siRNA-transfected cells for features



Figure 6: The flow cytometry assessment of apoptosis using FITC-Annexin V and PI staining. Dot plots of apoptosis in **A**) Control MCF7 cells, **B**) and **C**) Transfected MCF7 cells with siGLUL after 48 and 72 hours. In each plot, the viable, early apoptotic, and late apoptotic cells are observable in Q4, Q3, and Q2. **D**) Statistical analysis shows a significant difference in early and total (early and late) apoptosis with p= 0.0366 and 0.0027). **E**) Expression of *Bax* in siGLUL transfected and control cells by quantitative RT-PCR 48 h after transfection with increased expression in transfected cells (p=0.0113). **F**) Morphological studies of apoptosis based on acridine orange/ethidium bromide staining (AO/EtBr) via citation cell imaging system, **F1**) Control cells, **F2**) Transfected cells. In this figure, the apoptotic cells are distinguishable by arrows. The nucleus of early apoptotic cells shows yellow and green fluorescence by acridine orange staining, and late apoptotic cells show orange fluorescence by ethidium bromide. **G**) Based on results, siRNA transfected cells showed many apoptotic cells compared to control cells (p<0.00001). * p < 0.05 was considered significant. EA: Early Apoptosis, LA: Late Apoptosis

including growth, proliferation, and invasion. Briefly, the suppression of *GLUL* has shown a reduced rate of cell growth, proliferation, migration, and increased apoptosis in treated cells. Inducing apoptosis is a critical approach to managing tumors, and we showed knockdown of *GLUL* and limited glucose resources is associated with increased apoptosis, early and late, and up-regulation of the *Bax* gene as a pro-apoptotic factor. We showed that referred situations are associated with an increased cell number in the subG1 phase of flow cytometry assay as an index of programmed cell death.

Additionally, the knockdown process significantly represses cellular migration and *GSTM3* expression, but it does not affect the *ENO1*. These results are compatible with recent studies where the down-regulation of GSTM3 results in decreased cell migration and metastasis, increased apoptosis, and improved sensitivity to treatment (Li et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2018). Gene superfamily of glutathione S-transferase (GST), including GSTM3, as a phase II xenobiotic and drug detoxification enzyme (Deponte, 2013; Di Pietro et al., 2010; Hollman et al., 2016; Sturchio et al., 2008; Tóth et al., 2015; Xie et al., 2015), acts in conjugation with phase I enzymes such as CYPs (Vaish et al., 2020), and this superfamily is also considered critical enzymes in drug resistance, especially in cancers (Singh and Reindl, 2021). In this context, GSTM3 up-regulation occurs via recruiting and binding SP1, EP 300, and AP-1 transcription factors to its promoter by ER (Estrogen receptor) intermediation in ER-positive breast cancer (Bièche et al., 2004; Lin et al., 2018).

Regarding our findings, limited glucose resources and GLUL suppression decrease GSTM3 expression as a known metastatic gene in luminal breast cancer, and these findings further accentuate the significant role of GSTM3 in invasion potency, a major step in metastasis. Given the detoxification role of this enzyme, reduced GSTM3 can cause increased cell sensitivity to ROS (reactive oxygen species) such as H₂O₂ or chemotherapeutic drugs such as tamoxifen. Regard to recent studies, enhanced aerobic glycolysis and pentose phosphate pathway in malignancies such as breast cancer could decrease ROS production. Considering these results and our findings, a low quantity of glucose may be associated with increased levels of ROS and therefore collaborate in cell death and devastation (Aykin-Burns et al., 2009). Besides, we knocked down GLUL and found a lowered expression of GSTM3 that could improve the context for ROS action. With an overview, we hypothetically imagine GLUL suppression with limited glucose, synergistically, could be considered as an impact cancer treating method via ROS enhancement. Also, based on the possible mechanism, this intervention could be used with conventional therapies, including chemotherapy or radiation therapy that also function via ROS, as a new combinatory therapeutic strategy. We also showed up-regulating the Bax gene as a result of GLUL suppression. In this way, overexpressed Bax transfers to mitochondria and stimulates the intrinsic path of apoptosis via releasing cytochrome C and subsequent activation of caspase-3 and caspase-9 (Gao et al., 2001). PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway is one of the possible paths that can be affected by the glutamine synthetase function (Xiao et al., 2016), and it has also been well-approved to play a critical role in glutamine synthesizing cancerous cells (Paplomata and O'Regan, 2014). As regards recent studies, the PI3K/Akt pathway is known as the crucial path of controlling the expression of proapoptotic molecules such as Bax, BAD, antiapoptotic Bcl-2, and other factors including CREB (cAMP response element binding)

(CREB) and NF-KB (nuclear factor-kappa B) (Creson et al., 2009; Datta et al., 1997; Du and Montminy, 1998; Kane et al., 1999; Tsuruta et al., 2002). The mTOR acts downstream of Akt and triggers it via mTORC2. Activated Akt presents its role via inhibition of the proteolysis of Cyclin D1/E (Sarbassov et al., 2006; Wander et al., 2011). Based on these explanations and our results, it seems overexpression of the Bax has arisen from Akt inhibition following the mTOR repression. According to the role of the glutamine metabolic pathway in luminal breast cancer cells, conversion to glutamate (Glutamine-derived glutamate) and its use in various paths, including protein and glutathione synthesis (Cynober, 2018), transamination reactions (Brosnan, 2000; Cynober, 2018), and other nutrients exchange reactions (Loï and Cynober, 2022; Lu, 2013), stopping glutamine synthesis in luminal breast cancer and other malignancies with a similar metabolism such as glioblastoma multiforme (Obara-Michlewska and Szeliga, 2020) and hepatocellular carcinoma (Long et al., 2011) may be more efficient and considered as a potentially curative strategy. In other words, if these results have been confirmed in the in vivo systems (model organisms and clinical trial), providing "a modified diet with limited carbohydrates and suppression of glutamine synthetase, simultaneously, as a "hypothesized multimodal treatment strategy" can be considered a promising therapeutic approach.

Shortly, our findings confirm the critical role of *GLUL* and glycolysis in the metabolic network of luminal breast cancer, alone or together, and also offer principal data on the significance of these two pathways as a potential target therapy against the luminal subtype of breast cancer.

In conclusion, it seems logical to exploit such multimodal patterns in designing treatment strategies, perhaps as a next-generation treatment strategy, for different types of cancer.

Acknowledgment

This study has been designed and implemented at the Molecular Genetics Department of the University of Tabriz and Immunology Research Center (IRC), Tabriz, Iran. We thank everyone for assisting us in this project, especially Mr. Hossein Saeidi, laboratory expert of IRC.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

Funding

This study received no grant from funding agencies.

REFERENCES

Altman BJ, Stine ZE, Dang CV. From Krebs to clinic: glutamine metabolism to cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer. 2016;16:619-34.

Aykin-Burns N, Ahmad IM, Zhu Y, Oberley LW, Spitz DR. Increased levels of superoxide and H2O2 mediate the differential susceptibility of cancer cells versus normal cells to glucose deprivation. Biochem J. 2009;418: 29-37.

Baghban R, Roshangar L, Jahanban-Esfahlan R, Seidi K, Ebrahimi-Kalan A, Jaymand M, et al. Tumor microenvironment complexity and therapeutic implications at a glance. Cell Commun Signal. 2020;18(1):59.

Bièche I, Girault I, Urbain E, Tozlu S, Lidereau R. Relationship between intratumoral expression of genes coding for xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes and benefit from adjuvant tamoxifen in estrogen receptor alpha-positive postmenopausal breast carcinoma. Breast Cancer Res. 2004;6(3):R252-63.

Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLO-BOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality world-wide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68:394-424.

Brosnan JT. Glutamate, at the interface between amino acid and carbohydrate metabolism. J Nutr. 2000;130: 988S-90S.

Cao MD, Lamichhane S, Lundgren S, Bofin A, Fjøsne H, Giskeødegård GF, et al. Metabolic characterization of triple negative breast cancer. BMC Cancer. 2014;14: 941.

Cazzaniga M, Bonanni B. Relationship between metabolic reprogramming and mitochondrial activity in cancer cells. Understanding the anticancer effect of metformin and its clinical implications. Anticancer Res. 2015;35:5789-96.

Creson TK, Yuan P, Manji HK, Chen G. Evidence for involvement of ERK, PI3K, and RSK in induction of Bcl-2 by valproate. J Mol Neurosci. 2009;37:123-34.

Cynober L. Metabolism of dietary glutamate in adults. Ann Nutr Metab. 2018;73(Suppl 5):5-14.

Dalla PV, Santos J, Milthorpe BK, Padula MP. Selectively-packaged proteins in breast cancer extracellular vesicles involved in metastasis. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21 (14):4990.

Datta SR, Dudek H, Tao X, Masters S, Fu H, Gotoh Y, et al. Akt phosphorylation of BAD couples survival signals to the cell-intrinsic death machinery. Cell. 1997;91:231-41.

Davidson SM, Papagiannakopoulos T, Olenchock BA, Heyman JE, Keibler MA, Luengo A, et al. Environment impacts the metabolic dependencies of Rasdriven non-small cell lung cancer. Cell Metab. 2016; 23:517-28.

Deponte M. Glutathione catalysis and the reaction mechanisms of glutathione-dependent enzymes. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013;1830:3217-66.

Deprez J, Vertommen D, Alessi DR, Hue L, Rider MH. Phosphorylation and activation of heart 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase by protein kinase B and other protein kinases of the insulin signaling cascades. J Biol Chem. 1997;272:17269-75.

Di Pietro G, Magno LAV, Rios-Santos F. Glutathione S-transferases: an overview in cancer research. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol. 2010;6:153-70.

Du K, Montminy M. CREB is a regulatory target for the protein kinase Akt/PKB. J Biol Chem. 1998;273: 32377-9.

Eagle H, Oyama VI, Levy M, Horton CL, Fleischman R. The growth response of mammalian cells in tissue culture to L-glutamine and L-glutamic acid. J Biol Chem. 1956;218:607-16.

Faubert B, Solmonson A, DeBerardinis RJ. Metabolic reprogramming and cancer progression. Science. 2020; 368(6487):eaaw5473.

Fendt S-M, Bell EL, Keibler MA, Davidson SM, Wirth GJ, Fiske B, et al. Metformin decreases glucose oxidation and increases the dependency of prostate cancer cells on reductive glutamine metabolismmetformin increases dependency on glutamine metabolism. Cancer Res. 2013;73:4429-38.

Gao CF, Ren S, Zhang L, Nakajima T, Ichinose S, Hara T, et al. Caspase-dependent cytosolic release of cytochrome c and membrane translocation of Bax in p53induced apoptosis. Exp Cell Res. 2001;265:145-51.

Gautier EL, Westerterp M, Bhagwat N, Cremers S, Shih A, Abdel-Wahab O, et al. HDL and Glut1 inhibition reverse a hypermetabolic state in mouse models of myeloproliferative disorders. J Exp Med. 2013;210: 339-53.

Ghanbari Movahed Z, Rastegari-Pouyani M, Mohammadi MH, Mansouri K. Cancer cells change their glucose metabolism to overcome increased ROS: One step from cancer cell to cancer stem cell? Biomed Pharmacother. 2019;112:108690.

Guo J, Satoh K, Tabata S, Mori M, Tomita M, Soga T. Reprogramming of glutamine metabolism via glutamine synthetase silencing induces cisplatin resistance in A2780 ovarian cancer cells. BMC Cancer. 2021; 21(1):174.

Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell. 2011;144:646-74.

He F. Bradford protein assay. Bio-protocol. 2011; 2011:e45.

Herranz D, Ambesi-Impiombato A, Sudderth J, Sánchez-Martín M, Belver L, Tosello V, et al. Metabolic reprogramming induces resistance to anti-NOTCH1 therapies in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat Med. 2015;21:1182-9.

Hollman AL, Tchounwou PB, Huang H-C. The association between gene-environment interactions and diseases involving the human GST superfamily with SNP variants. Int J Environ Res Public. 2016;13(4):379.

Huang Z, Lin B, Pan H, Du J, He R, Zhang S, et al. Gene expression profile analysis of ENO1 knockdown in gastric cancer cell line MGC-803. Oncol Lett. 2019;17:3881-9.

Jae HJ, Chung JW, Park HS, Lee MJ, Lee KC, Kim H-C, et al. The antitumor effect and hepatotoxicity of a hexokinase II inhibitor 3-bromopyruvate: in vivo investigation of intraarterial administration in a rabbit VX2 hepatoma model. Korean J Radiol. 2009;10:596-603. Kalyanaraman B. Teaching the basics of cancer metabolism: Developing antitumor strategies by exploiting the differences between normal and cancer cell metabolism. Redox Biol. 2017;12:833-42.

Kanaan YM, Sampey BP, Beyene D, Esnakula AK, Naab TJ, Ricks-Santi LJ, et al. Metabolic profile of triple-negative breast cancer in African-American women reveals potential biomarkers of aggressive disease. Cancer Genomics Proteomics. 2014;11:279-94.

Kane LP, Shapiro VS, Stokoe D, Weiss A. Induction of NF- κ B by the Akt/PKB kinase. Curr Biol. 1999; 9(11):601-4.

Kim S, Kim DH, Jung W-H, Koo JS. Expression of glutamine metabolism-related proteins according to molecular subtype of breast cancer. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2013;20:339-48.

Kung H-N, Marks JR, Chi J-T. Glutamine synthetase is a genetic determinant of cell type–specific glutamine independence in breast epithelia. PLoS Genet. 2011; 7(8):e1002229.

Kuo W, Lin J, Tang TK. Human glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) gene transforms NIH 3T3 cells and induces tumors in nude mice. Int J Cancer. 2000; 85:857-64.

Leone RD, Zhao L, Englert JM, Sun I-M, Oh M-H, Sun I-H, et al. Glutamine blockade induces divergent metabolic programs to overcome tumor immune evasion. Science. 2019;366(6468):1013-21.

Li G, Cai Y, Wang C, Huang M, Chen J. LncRNA GAS5 regulates the proliferation, migration, invasion and apoptosis of brain glioma cells through targeting GSTM3 expression. The effect of LncRNA GAS5 on glioma cells. J Neuro-Oncol. 2019;143:525-36.

Li J, Eu JQ, Kong LR, Wang L, Lim YC, Goh BC, et al. Targeting metabolism in cancer cells and the tumour microenvironment for cancer therapy. Molecules. 2020;25(20):4831.

Lin J-H, Tu S-H, Chen L-C, Huang C-C, Chang H-L, Cheng T-C, et al. Oestrogen receptor-regulated glutathione S-transferase mu 3 expression attenuates hydrogen peroxide-induced cytotoxicity, which confers tamoxifen resistance on breast cancer cells. Breast Cancer Res. 2018;172:45-59.

Liu Y, Cao Y, Zhang W, Bergmeier S, Qian Y, Akbar H, et al. A small-molecule inhibitor of glucose transporter 1 downregulates glycolysis, induces cell-cycle arrest, and inhibits cancer cell growth in vitro and in vivo. Mol Cancer Ther. 2012;11:1672-82.

Loï C, Cynober L. Glutamate: a safe nutrient, not just a simple additive. Ann Nutr Metab. 2022;78:133-46.

Long J, Wang H, Lang Z, Wang T, Long M, Wang B. Expression level of glutamine synthetase is increased in hepatocellular carcinoma and liver tissue with cirrhosis and chronic hepatitis B. Hepatol Int. 2011;5: 698-706.

Lu SC. Glutathione synthesis. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013;1830:3143-53.

Luengo A, Gui DY, Vander Heiden MG. Targeting metabolism for cancer therapy. Cell Chem Biol. 2017; 24:1161-80.

Miller KD, Fidler-Benaoudia M, Keegan TH, Hipp HS, Jemal A, Siegel RL. Cancer statistics for adolescents and young adults, 2020. CA Cancer J Clin. 2020;70: 443-59.

Nguyen T-L, Durán RV. Glutamine metabolism in cancer therapy. Cancer Drug Resist. 2018;1:126-38.

Obara-Michlewska M, Szeliga M. Targeting glutamine addiction in gliomas. Cancers. 2020;12(2):310.

Paplomata E, O'Regan R. The PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway in breast cancer: targets, trials and biomarkers. Ther Adv Med Oncol. 2014;6:154-66.

Pavlova NN, Thompson CB. The emerging hallmarks of cancer metabolism. Cell Metab. 2016;23:27-47.

Phan LM, Yeung S-CJ, Lee M-H. Cancer metabolic reprogramming: importance, main features, and potentials for precise targeted anti-cancer therapies. Cancer Biol Med. 2014;11(1):1-19.

Redig AJ, McAllister SS. Breast cancer as a systemic disease: a view of metastasis. J Internal Med. 2013; 274:113-26.

Reid MA, Lowman XH, Pan M, Tran TQ, Warmoes MO, Gabra MBI, et al. IKK β promotes metabolic adaptation to glutamine deprivation via phosphorylation and inhibition of PFKFB3. Genes Dev. 2016;30:1837-51.

Revathidevi S, Munirajan AK. Akt in cancer: Mediator and more. Semin Cancer Biol. 2019;59:80-91.

Sarbassov DD, Ali SM, Sengupta S, Sheen JH, Hsu PP, Bagley AF, et al. Prolonged rapamycin treatment inhibits mTORC2 assembly and Akt/PKB. Mol Cell. 2006;22:159-68.

Schiliro C, Firestein BL. Mechanisms of metabolic reprogramming in cancer cells supporting enhanced growth and proliferation. Cells. 2021;10(5):1056.

Sellers K, Fox MP, Bousamra M, Slone SP, Higashi RM, Miller DM, et al. Pyruvate carboxylase is critical for non–small-cell lung cancer proliferation. J Clin Investig. 2015;125:687-98.

Singh RR, Reindl KM. Glutathione S-transferases in cancer. Antioxidants. 2021;10(5):701.

Smith RA, Andrews KS, Brooks D, Fedewa SA, Manassaram-Baptiste D, Saslow D, et al. Cancer screening in the United States, 2017: a review of current American Cancer Society guidelines and current issues in cancer screening. CA Cancer J Clin. 2017;67:100-21.

Son J, Lyssiotis CA, Ying H, Wang X, Hua S, Ligorio M, et al. Glutamine supports pancreatic cancer growth through a KRAS-regulated metabolic pathway. Nature. 2013;496(7443):101-5.

Sturchio E, Ficociello B, Minoia C, Biamonti G, Signorini S, Moccaldi A, et al. Gene expression and environmental exposure to xenobiotics: Overview and applications. G Ital Med Lav Ergon. 2008;30:101-14.

Sun H, Zhou Y, Skaro MF, Wu Y, Qu Z, Mao F, et al. Metabolic reprogramming in cancer is induced to increase proton production. Cancer Res. 2020a;80:1143-55.

Sun L, Suo C, Li S-t, Zhang H, Gao P. Metabolic reprogramming for cancer cells and their microenvironment: Beyond the Warburg Effect. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2018;1870:51-66.

Sun X, Wang M, Wang M, Yu X, Guo J, Sun T, et al. Metabolic reprogramming in triple-negative breast cancer. Front Oncol. 2020b;10:428.

Tóth A, Brózik A, Szakács G, Sarkadi B, Hegedüs T. A novel mathematical model describing adaptive cellular drug metabolism and toxicity in the chemoimmune system. PloS One. 2015;10(2):e0115533.

Tsuruta F, Masuyama N, Gotoh Y. The phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)-Akt pathway suppresses Bax translocation to mitochondria. J Biol Chem. 2002;277: 14040-7.

Vaish S, Gupta D, Mehrotra R, Mehrotra S, Basantani MK. Glutathione S-transferase: A versatile protein family. 3 Biotech. 2020;10(7):321.

Vander Heiden MG, DeBerardinis RJ. Understanding the intersections between metabolism and cancer biology. Cell. 2017;168:657-69.

Wander SA, Hennessy BT, Slingerland JM. Next-generation mTOR inhibitors in clinical oncology: how pathway complexity informs therapeutic strategy. J Clin Investig. 2011;121:1231-41. Wang S, Yang J, Ding C, Li J, You L, Dai M, et al. Glutathione S-Transferase Mu-3 predicts a better prognosis and inhibits malignant behavior and glycolysis in pancreatic cancer. Front Oncol. 2020;10:1539.

Wang X, Decker CC, Zechner L, Krstin S, Wink M. In vitro wound healing of tumor cells: inhibition of cell migration by selected cytotoxic alkaloids. BMC Pharmacol Toxicol. 2019;20(1):4.

Warburg O. On the origin of cancer cells. Science. 1956;123(3191):309-14.

Wei Z, Liu X, Cheng C, Yu W, Yi P. Metabolism of amino acids in cancer. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;8: 603837.

Wieman HL, Wofford JA, Rathmell JC. Cytokine stimulation promotes glucose uptake via phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase/Akt regulation of Glut1 activity and trafficking. Mol Biol Cell. 2007;18:1437-46. Xiao D, Zeng L, Yao K, Kong X, Wu G, Yin Y. The glutamine-alpha-ketoglutarate (AKG) metabolism and its nutritional implications. Amino Acids. 2016;48: 2067-80.

Xie Y, Zhou X, Chen L, Zhang Z, Wang C, Gu X, et al. Cloning and characterization of a novel sigma-like glutathione S-transferase from the giant panda parasitic nematode, Baylisascaris schroederi. Parasit Vectors. 2015;8:44.

Yuneva M, Zamboni N, Oefner P, Sachidanandam R, Lazebnik Y. Deficiency in glutamine but not glucose induces MYC-dependent apoptosis in human cells. J Cell Biol. 2007;178:93-105.

Yuneva MO, Fan TW, Allen TD, Higashi RM, Ferraris DV, Tsukamoto T, et al. The metabolic profile of tumors depends on both the responsible genetic lesion and tissue type. Cell Metab. 2012;15:157-70.